Dark Relationships, ‘Net’working and ‘Field’working

There are different styles of interaction between people as well as structures of people organisation. Certainly networking is one way of interacting and usually happens through a spiders web type structure of relationships with each person as a node in that web. With networks, although relationships connect people like the fibres of a web, there is empty space between the relationships, where there is potential for exchange yet none has manifested.

Scientists estimate that the observable universe is only 4% of the whole universe, 96% of which is 'dark matter' or 'dark energy'. People Networks are very similar. They are mostly empty interactions with very little consisting of real transformative or supportive relationships. Even then the relationships that exist may be tenuous or may link together people who are unable to collaborate or make a difference to one another despite their bonds. The truth is as far as physics or psychology is concerned we are all connected and even the empty spaces around social relationships are teaming with hidden or 'dark' transformational or supportive energies. There are no nodes or people in those spaces, simply peoples hidden thoughts, perspectives, feelings as well as their visions, dreams, illusions, delusions and nightmares. Each of these must be engaged with through compassion so the emptiness lights up and people are illumiated as to what difference they can make as well as what difference they should not make.
In physics, 'empty space' is not really empty but full of hidden energy locked up in a force field. It is that field between bits of visible matter that makes up most of the universe. Within it is locked up all the dark matter and energy. It is also from that field that the visible matter and energy materialises from. In the universe it may appear that there are nodes of matter joined by fields of force but the reality is that there are mostly fields of force that in rare instances are dense enough to become matter. There is no network but a field of energy.

Humans are currently busy 'net'working as if there is a net of energy to work. The reality is that there is no net but a field of influence which happens to sometimes be concentrated enough to be visible or able to be experienced from one person. There is no net to work but a 'field' of energy to work.

Fieldworking then is more powerful than Networking. For a start, unlike Networking, there is no 'six degrees of separation' between any two people because the field overlaps the space between contacts and even extends beyond them, even beyond 'six degrees of separation' that ultimately connects any two people together in a network. That means any one person can affect any other without going through a chain of command in between.

The more people experience separation the more they are working their net rather than their field. Organisations can choose the level of experience of this separation. They have the ability to define accessibility of any one person by another as well as the level of influence of the collective on the individual as well as the field effect of any one person on the collective. However, even with no organisation or constructed relationships, individuals, through the field, can still affect people beyond their relationships and tribes of people can affect individuals that are not members.

I recently had a paper/demo peer reviewed and published by the British Computer Society. It explores the migration from a 'Networking' way of people socialising and developing to a 'Fieldworking' future. A two page summary...

Advancing Traditional Relevance Based Social Networking to Resonance Driven Social Fieldworking using Mindfulness Visualisation
http://ewic.bcs.org/content/ConWebDoc/51030

Is Networking then becoming an outmoded, Newtonian way of connecting and transforming between people? Is a 'field' or 'quantum' approach to people engaging with one another more complete and realistic? The pins on a map that mark important places are not reality. The canvas of the map though is more complete an image of reality but even then is still an approximation, a model. The world the canvas of the map represents has much more richness and is not fixed in some static medium but instead flows through a field of ever shifting transformative energy of many dimensions..
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